

1 M. KIRBY C. WILCOX (SB# 078576) kirbywilcox@paulhastings.com  
2 THOMAS E. GEIDT (SB# 080955) tomgeidt@paulhastings.com  
3 MOLLY A. HARCOS (SB# 233556) mollyharcos@paulhastings.com  
4 PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFKY & WALKER LLP  
5 55 Second Street  
Twenty-Fourth Floor  
San Francisco, CA 94105-3441  
Telephone: (415) 856-7000  
Facsimile: (415) 856-7100

6 Attorneys for Defendant  
7 CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC.

8 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
9 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
10 SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION  
11

12 AHMED HIGAZI, on behalf of himself  
13 and a class of those similarly situated,

14 Plaintiff,

15 vs.

16 CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC.,

17 Defendant.  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28

CASE NO. 3:07-CV-02813 SC

**DEFENDANT CADENCE DESIGN  
SYSTEMS, INC.'S RENOTICE OF  
MOTION AND MOTION FOR  
INTRADISTRICT VENUE TRANSFER**

Date: August 28, 2007  
Time: 9:30 a.m.  
Ct. Rm.: 11, 19th Fl.  
Judge: Hon. Martin J. Jenkins

1 TO PLAINTIFF AHMED HIGAZI AND HIS ATTORNEYS OF RECORD:

2  
3 PLEASE TAKE NOTICE that on Tuesday, August 28, 2007, at 9:30 a.m., or as soon as  
4 the matter may be heard, in Courtroom 11 of this Court, located at 450 Golden Gate Avenue, 19th  
5 Floor, San Francisco, California, before the Honorable Martin J. Jenkins, defendant Cadence  
6 Design Systems, Inc. ("Cadence"), will and does move this Court, pursuant to Local Rule 3-2 and  
7 28 U.S.C. section 1404(a), to transfer venue of this action from the San Francisco Division of the  
8 Northern District of California to the San Jose Division.

9  
10 Pursuant to the Court's July 10, 2007 Reassignment Order, Cadence hereby renotices for  
11 hearing its previously-filed Motion for Intradistrict Venue Transfer, should a hearing be needed.  
12 However, in light of Plaintiff's non-opposition to this motion, filed on July 2, 2007, Cadence will  
13 defer to the Court as to whether a hearing is necessary.

14 This motion is made on the grounds that the action arises in the San Jose Division and the  
15 convenience of the parties and witnesses and the interest of justice would be served by changing  
16 the venue of this action to the San Jose Division, where Plaintiff worked for Cadence, where  
17 Cadence is located, where the witnesses and records relevant to Plaintiff's claims are located, and  
18 where most of the putative class members are employed.

19 This motion is based on this Renotice of Motion and Motion, the Notice of Motion and  
20 Motion for Intradistrict Venue Transfer and Memorandum of Points and Authorities and  
21 Declarations in support thereof, originally filed on June 28, 2007, the papers and pleadings on file  
22 herein,

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 and such other oral and/or documentary evidence as may be presented at the hearing of this  
2 matter.

3  
4 DATED: July 23, 2007

PAUL, HASTINGS, JANOFSKY & WALKER LLP

5  
6 By: /s/  
7 MOLLY HARCOS

8 Attorneys for Defendant  
9 CADENCE DESIGN SYSTEMS, INC.

10  
11  
12 LEGAL\_US\_W # 56580380.2